

# 1 Concepts

**Definition 1.** (Extreme consequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be extremely consequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2), (x_1, x_2; B_1, B_2) \in \Omega$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \sim (x_1, x_2; B_1, B_2)$ .

**Definition 2.** (First opportunity set ranking strong consequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be first opportunity set ranking strongly consequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2) \in \Omega$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \sim (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Rightarrow [(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2) \Leftrightarrow |A_1| \geq |B_1|]$ , and  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \succ (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$ .

**Definition 3.** (Second opportunity set ranking strong consequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be second opportunity set ranking strongly consequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2) \in \Omega$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \sim (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Rightarrow [(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2) \Leftrightarrow |A_2| \geq |B_2|]$ , and  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \succ (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$ .

**Definition 4.** (Sum-ranking strong consequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be sum-ranking strongly consequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2) \in \Omega$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \sim (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Rightarrow [(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2) \Leftrightarrow |A_1| + |A_2| \geq |B_1| + |B_2|]$ , and  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \succ (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$ .

**Definition 7.** (First opportunity set ranking extreme nonconsequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be first opportunity set ranking extremely nonconsequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_1), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_1) \in \Omega$ ,  $|A_1| \geq |B_1| \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; A_1, A_2)$ .

**Definition 8.** (Second opportunity set ranking extreme nonconsequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be second opportunity set ranking extremely nonconsequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_1), (x_1, x_2; B_1, B_1) \in \Omega$ ,  $|A_2| \geq |B_2| \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; A_1, A_2)$ .

**Definition 9.** (Sum-ranking extreme nonconsequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be sum-ranking extremely nonconsequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_1), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_1) \in \Omega$ ,  $|A_1| + |A_2| \geq |B_1| + |B_2| \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$ .

**Definition 10.** (Weighted sum-ranking extremely nonconsequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be Weighted sum-ranking extremely nonconsequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_1), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_1) \in \Omega$ ,  $\alpha|A_1| + \beta|A_2| \geq \alpha|B_1| + \beta|B_2| \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; A_1, A_2)$ .

**Definition 11.** (Lexicographic extreme nonconsequentialism for first opportunity set)

$\succeq$  is said to be lexicographic extremely nonconsequential for first opportunity set if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_1), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_1) \in \Omega$ ,  $|A_1| > |B_1| \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$  and  $|A_1| = |B_1| \Rightarrow [|A_2| \geq |B_2| \Leftrightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)]$ .

**Definition 12.** (First opportunity set ranking Strong nonconsequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be sum-ranking strongly nonconsequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_1), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_1) \in \Omega$ ,  $|A_1| > |B_1| \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$  and  $|A_1| = |B_1| \Rightarrow [(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \succeq (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Leftrightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)]$ .

**Definition 13.** (Second opportunity set ranking Strong nonconsequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be sum-ranking strongly nonconsequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_1), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_1) \in \Omega$ ,  $|A_2| > |B_2| \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$  and  $|A_2| = |B_2| \Rightarrow [(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \succeq (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Leftrightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)]$ .

**Definition 14.** (Sum-ranking Strong nonconsequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be sum-ranking strongly nonconsequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_1), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_1) \in \Omega$ ,  $|A_1| + |A_2| > |B_1| + |B_2| \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$  and  $|A_1| + |A_2| = |B_1| + |B_2| \Rightarrow [(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \succeq (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Leftrightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)]$ .

**Definition 15.** (Lexicographic strong nonconsequentialism for first opportunity set)

$\succeq$  is said to be lexicographic strongly nonconsequential for first opportunity set if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_1), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_1) \in \Omega$ ,  $|A_1| > |B_1| \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$ ,  $|A_1| = |B_1| \Rightarrow [ |A_2| > |B_2| \Leftrightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)]$ , and  $|A_1| = |B_1|$  and  $|A_2| = |B_2| \Rightarrow [(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \succeq (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Leftrightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)]$ .

**Definition 16.** (Multiplicative-ranking strong consequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be Multiplicative-ranking strongly consequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2) \in \Omega$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \sim (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Rightarrow [(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (x_1, x_2; B_1, B_2) \Leftrightarrow |A_1| \times |A_2| \geq |B_1| \times |B_2|]$ , and  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \succ (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$ .

**Definition 17.** (Multiplicative-ranking extreme nonconsequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be multiplicative-ranking extremely nonconsequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_1), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_1) \in \Omega$ ,  $|A_1| \times |A_2| \geq |B_1| \times |B_2| \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$ .

**Definition 18.** (Multiplicative-ranking strong nonconsequentialism)

$\succeq$  is said to be multiplicative-ranking strongly nonconsequential if, for all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_1), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_1) \in \Omega$ ,  $|A_1| \times |A_2| > |B_1| \times |B_2| \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$ , and  $|A_1| \times |A_2| = |B_1| \times |B_2|$ , then  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \succ (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$ .

## 2 Axioms

**Axiom 1.** Independence for Addition(IND)

For all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2) \in \Omega$  and all  $z_1 \in X_1 \setminus \{A_1 \cup B_1\}$  and all  $z_2 \in X_2 \setminus \{A_2 \cup B_2\}$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2) \Leftrightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1 \cup \{z_1\}, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1 \cup \{z_1\}, B_2)$  and  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2) \Leftrightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2 \cup \{z_2\}) \succeq (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2 \cup \{z_2\})$ .

**Axiom 2.** Simple Indifference(SI)

For all  $x_1 \in X_1$  and all  $y_1, z_1 \in X_1 \setminus \{x_1\}$  and all  $x_2 \in X_2$  and all  $y_2, z_2 \in X_2 \setminus \{x_2\}$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2\}) \sim (x_1, x_2; \{x_1, z_1\}, \{x_2\})$  and  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}) \sim (x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2, z_2\})$ .

**Axiom 3.** Indifference(BI)

For all  $x_1 \in X_1$  and all  $y_1, z_1 \in X_1 \setminus \{x_1\}$  and all  $x_2 \in X_2$  and all  $y_2, z_2 \in X_2 \setminus \{x_2\}$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}) \sim (x_1, x_2; \{x_1, z_1\}, \{x_2, z_2\})$ .

**Axiom 4.** Local Indifference 1(LI1)

For all  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$ , there exist  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, \{x_2\}) \in \Omega$  such that  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \sim (x_1, x_2; A_1, \{x_2\})$  where  $A_1 \neq \{x_1\}$ .

**Axiom 5.** Local Indifference 2(LI2)

For all  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$ , there exist  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, A_2) \in \Omega$  such that  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \sim (x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, A_2)$  where  $A_2 \neq \{x_2\}$ .

**Axiom 6.** Local Strict Monotonicity 1 (LSM1)

For all  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$ , there exists  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, \{x_2\}) \in \Omega \setminus \{(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\})\}$  such that  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, \{x_2\}) \succ (x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\})$ .

**Axiom 7.** Local Strict Monotonicity 2 (LSM2)

For all  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$ , there exists  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, A_2) \in \Omega \setminus \{(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\})\}$  such that  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, A_2) \succ (x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\})$ .

**Axiom 8.** Robustness(ROB)

For all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2) \in \Omega$  and all  $z_1 \in X_1, z_2 \in X_2$ , if  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \succ (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\})$  and  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$ , then  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1 \cup \{z_1\}, B_2)$  and  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2 \cup \{z_2\})$ .

**Axiom 9.** Trinary Indifference(TI)

For all  $x_1, y_1 \in X_1$  and all  $x_2, y_2 \in X_2$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2\}) \sim (x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\})$

**Axiom 10.** Indifference of No-Choice Situations(INS)

For all  $x_1, y_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2, y_2 \in X_2$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}) \sim (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\})$ .

**Axiom 11.** Proportional Indifference(PI)

For all  $x_1 \in X_1$  and all  $x_2 \in X_2$ , there exists  $A_1, A_2, B_1$  and  $B_2$  such that  $\alpha|A_1| + \beta|A_2| = \alpha|B_1| + \beta|B_2|$ ,  $|A_1| \neq |B_1|$  and  $|A_2| \neq |B_2|$ , and  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \sim (x_1, x_2; B_1, B_2)$

**Axiom 12.** Weakly Robustness for first opportunity set (WROB1)

For all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2), (x_1, x_2; B_1, B_2) \in \Omega$  and all  $z_2 \in X_2$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (x_1, x_2; B_1, B_2)$ , then  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (x_1, x_2; B_1, B_2 \cup \{z_2\})$ .

**Axiom 13.** Simple Preference for First Opportunities(SPO1)

For all distinct  $x_1, y_1 \in X_1$  and all distinct  $x_2, y_2 \in X_2$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2\}) \succ (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\})$

**Axiom 14.** Simple Preference for Second Opportunities(SPO2)

For all distinct  $x_1, y_1 \in X_1$  and all distinct  $x_2, y_2 \in X_2$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}) \succ (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, \{y_2\})$

**Axiom 15.** Strongly Robustness for first opportunity set (SROB1)

For all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2), (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2) \in \Omega$  and all  $z_1 \in X_1, z_2 \in X_2$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2)$ , then  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, B_2 \cup \{z_2\})$ .

**Axiom 16.** Indifference for Multiplication (INDM)

$n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ . For all  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2), (x_1, x_2; B_1, B_2) \in \Omega$  and all  $C_i, D_j$  such that  $A_1 \cap C_1 = \emptyset, B_1 \cap D_1 = \emptyset, n \times |A_i| = |A_i \cup C_i|$  and  $n \times |B_j| = |B_j \cup D_j|$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, A_2) \succeq (x_1, x_2; B_1, B_2) \Leftrightarrow (x_i, x_{3-i}; A_i \cup C_i, A_{3-i}) \succeq (x_j, x_{3-j}; B_j \cup D_j, B_{3-j})$ .

**Axiom 17.** Semi-Local Indifference(SLI)

For all  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$  and all  $A_1 \in K_1$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; A_1, \{x_2\}) \sim (x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\})$  or, for all  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$  and all  $A_2 \in K_2$ ,  $(x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, A_2) \sim (x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, \{x_2\})$

**Axiom 18.** Semi-Local Strict Monotonicity(SLSM)

For all  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$  and all  $A_1, B_1 \in K_1$ ,  $A_1 \supset B_1 \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, \{x_2\}) \succ (x_1, x_2; B_1, \{x_2\})$  or, for all  $x_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$  and all  $A_2, B_2 \in K_2$ ,  $A_2 \supset B_2 \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, A_2) \succ (x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, B_2)$

**Axiom 19.** Semi-Strict Preference for Opportunity(SSPO)

For all  $x_1, y_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2, y_2 \in X_2$  where  $x_1 \neq y_1$  and  $x_2 \neq y_2$ , and all  $A_1, B_1 \in K_1$ ,  $A_1 \supset B_1 \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; A_1, \{x_2\}) \succ (y_1, y_2; B_1, \{y_2\})$  or, for all  $x_1, y_1 \in X_1$  and  $x_2, y_2 \in X_2$  where  $x_1 \neq y_1$  and  $x_2 \neq y_2$ , and all  $A_2, B_2 \in K_2$ ,  $A_2 \supset B_2 \Rightarrow (x_1, x_2; \{x_1\}, A_2) \succ (y_1, y_2; \{y_1\}, B_2)$

### 3 Summary of Results

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 (IND) \oplus (BI) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \oplus(LI1) \oplus (LI2) = \text{extreme consequentialism} \\
 \oplus(LI1) \oplus (LSM2) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \oplus(ROB) = \text{2nd opp. set ranking strong conseq.} \\
 \oplus(INS) = \text{2nd opp. set ranking extreme nonconseq.} \\
 \oplus(SPO2) = \text{2nd opp. set ranking strong nonconseq.}
 \end{array} \right. \\
 \oplus(LI2) \oplus (LSM1) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \oplus(ROB) = \text{1st opp. set ranking strong conseq.} \\
 \oplus(INS) = \text{1st opp. set ranking extreme nonconseq.} \\
 \oplus(SPO1) = \text{1st opp. set ranking strong nonconseq.}
 \end{array} \right. \\
 \oplus(LSM1) \oplus (LSM2) \\
 \oplus(ROB) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \oplus(TI) = \text{sum-ranking strong conseq.} \\
 \oplus(PI) = \text{wighted-sum ranking strong conseq.}
 \end{array} \right. \\
 \oplus(INS) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \oplus(TI) = \text{sum-ranking extreme nonconseq.} \\
 \oplus(PI) = \text{weghted sum-ranking extreme nonconseq.} \\
 \oplus(WROB1) = \text{lexioco. extreme nonconseq.}
 \end{array} \right. \\
 \oplus(SPO1) \oplus (SPO2) \\
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \oplus(TI) = \text{sum-ranking strong nonconseq.} \\
 \oplus(PI) = \text{weghted sum-ranking strong nonconseq.} \\
 \oplus(SROB1) = \text{lexioco. strong nonconseq.}
 \end{array} \right.
 \end{array} \right. \\
 (INDM) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \oplus(SLI) = \text{extreme consequentialism} \\
 \oplus(SLSM) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \oplus(ROB) = \text{multiplicative-ranking strong consequentialism} \\
 \oplus(INS) = \text{multiplicative-ranking extreme nonconsequentialism} \\
 \oplus(SSPO) = \text{multiplicative-ranking strong nonconsequentialism}
 \end{array} \right.
 \end{array} \right.
 \end{array} \right.$$

IND: Independence for addition

INDM: Indifference for Multiplication

BI: Baseline Indifference

LI $i$ : Local Indifference for  $i$ th opportunity set

LSM $i$ : Local Strict Monotonicity for  $i$ th opportunity set

SPO: Strong Preference for Opportunities

INS: Indifferece of No-choice Situations

ROB: Robustness

TI: Trinary Indifference

PI: Proportional Indifference

WROB1: Weakly Robustness for first opportunity set

\*note;  $(A) \oplus (B)$  indicates the logical combination of the two axioms  $A$  and  $B$ .